
Paper Grading Rubric and Evaluation Sheet

Essential Supporting Documents

In order to be able to receive points with respect to certain criteria, three accompanying documents are expected to be completed, your semiotic glossary, your annotated bibliography, and your skeletal draft.

The Rubric

It is intended that readers, including yourself, will evaluate the final draft of your paper according to the following weighted criteria:

1. Title, Author, Abstract - 5 points: 2 point for a quality title, 1 point for remembering to identify yourself, and 2 points for an appropriate abstract.
2. Structure – 10 points: Points are awarded based on the whether or not the required number of sections are present, whether or not the titles of the sections meaningfully reflect their content, and whether or not the sequence of titles has a reasonable “narrative arc”.
3. Introduction – 7 points: Does it make for a good hook? Does it reflect the remainder of the paper in a meaningful manner? Does it reference a reasonable number of sources?
4. Conclusion - 3 points: Does the conclusion do an adequate job of wrapping up the paper in a way that reflects its focus, namely, “the semiotics of X”.
5. Sectionals – 5 points: Does each section start off with a paragraph that accurately, and maybe even artfully, indicates what is to follow in that section?
6. Refinements – 30 points: Is each single sentence paragraph stub from the skeletal draft, perhaps with just a small number of exceptions, quite obviously refined into a single coherent paragraph that is consistent with the stub?
7. Terms - 15 points: At least 30 terms from the semiotic glossary are properly used and correctly referenced in the final draft.
8. Referencing – 10 points: Do you properly reference at **all** of the resources that appear in your annotated bibliography
9. Writing – 15 points: Was the sentence structure sound throughout the paper? Were the paragraphs coherent? Was the editing adequate? Did you enjoy the experience of reading the paper? Did you learn something from the experience of reading the paper?

Final Draft Evaluation Sheet

Vital Information

Name:

Grade:

Points

1. Title, Author, Abstract - 5 points: 2 point for a quality title, 1 point for remembering to identify yourself, and 2 points for an appropriate abstract.
2. Structure – 10 points: Points are awarded based on the whether or not the required number of sections are present, whether or not the titles of the sections meaningfully reflect their content, and whether or not the sequence of titles has a reasonable “narrative arc”.
3. Introduction – 7 points: Does it make for a good hook? Does it reflect the remainder of the paper in a meaningful manner? Does it reference a reasonable number of sources?
4. Conclusion - 3 points: Does the conclusion do an adequate job of wrapping up the paper in a way that reflects its focus, namely, “the semiotics of X”.
5. Sectionals – 5 points: Does each section start off with a paragraph that accurately, and maybe even artfully, indicates what is to follow in that section?
6. Refinements – 30 points: Is each single sentence paragraph stub from the skeletal draft, perhaps with just a small number of exceptions, quite obviously refined into a single coherent paragraph that is consistent with the stub?
7. Terms - 15 points: At least 30 terms from the semiotic glossary are properly used and correctly referenced in the final draft.
8. Referencing – 10 points: Do you properly reference at **all** of the resources that appear in your annotated bibliography
9. Writing – 15 points: Was the sentence structure sound throughout the paper? Were the paragraphs coherent? Was the editing adequate? Did you enjoy the experience of reading the paper? Did you learn something from the experience of reading the paper?